UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCK		CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,926	08/25/2003	Mitsuru Amimoto	03560.017496. 1957		
	7590 05/12/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER			
30 ROCKEFEL	LER PLAZA	GETANEH, MESFIN S			
NEW YORK, N	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/12/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/646,926		AMIMOTO ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		MESFIN GE	TANEH	2625		
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication a	ppears on the c	over sheet with the o	orrespondence ad	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, I Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailir If NO period for reply is specified about Failure to reply within the set or extending reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See:	FROM THE MAILING nder the provisions of 37 CFR of date of this communication. The maximum statutory perioded period for reply will, by state than three months after the mainstance.	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event od will apply and will e ute, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this condition (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
Responsive to commu This action is FINAL . Since this application i closed in accordance v	2b) The sin condition for allow	nis action is nor vance except fo	r formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4)	(s) <u>1-3,6-10,12,14-16 s</u> allowed. <u>and 19-24</u> is/are reject objected to.	and 18 is/are v	vithdrawn from cons	ideration.		
Application Papers						
9) The specification is obj 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not reques Replacement drawing sh 11) The oath or declaration	is/are: a) ☐ act that any objection to the eet(s) including the corre	ccepted or b) ne drawing(s) be ection is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D 3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date	rawing Review (PTO-948)	_) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal F) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4, 5, 11, 13, 17 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ochi et al. herein after Ochi (US 7,034,967) in view of Chang (US Pat 6,519,023).

With regards to **claim 17**, Ochi teaches an image reading apparatus for reading a transparent original (flat bed scanner 71 in FIG. 5) in which a transparent original illuminating device (transparent original adapter 73 in FIG. 5), a transparent original (film 21 in FIG. 5), **a transparent original plate** (platen glass 100 in FIG. 5), an imaging lens (projection lens 52 in FIG. 5) and a reading sensor (line sensor 97 in FIG. 5) are arranged in order (col. 12, line 45-50, col. 13, line 60-67),

Supporting roller drive circuit moves the film supporting rollers downward when reading film against original placement plane of platen glass along film passing plane (col. 13, line 53-59).

However, Ochi does not explicitly teach wherein said transparent original illuminating device is provided at its transparent original side with a plurality of urging portions disposed at positions corresponding to an out of an image area of the

transparent original and protruded, less than a depth of field of said lens, above a light emitting surface, and the transparent original is urged against said transparent original plate by said urging portions.

Chang teaches the transparency may be smoothly rested on the glass window of the body by the light permeable face of the plane light source. Thus, the light of the plane light source may penetrate the light permeable face of the plane light source, and pass through the transparency and the glass window of the body, to proceed the image scanning. The plane light source, the transparency, and the glass window of the body present a smooth resting state within 0.3mm (col. 3, line 39-51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use a light source that pressed against the original within the scanning depth to obtain a clear image (Chang, col. 3, line 50-55).

With regards to **claim 19**, which further limits **claim 17**, Ochi teaches wherein said plurality of urging portions (film supporting rollers 75 and 76 of FIG. 5) are provided on an out, **in a width direction of the transparent original** (FIG. 8), of a light emitting area of said transparent original illuminating device (col. 16, line 45-51).

With regards to **claim 20**, which further limits **claim 17**, Ochi teaches wherein each of said plurality of urging portions is greater than a perforation hole of the transparent original (film supporting rollers 75 and 76 are greater than perforations 24 and 23 as shown in FIG 6).

With regards to **claim 21**, which further limits **claim 17**, Ochi teaches a transparent original guide rested on said transparent original plate and adapted to

determine positions of the transparent original and said transparent original illuminating device (col. 19, line 23-30).

With regards to **claim 5**, which further limits **claim 21**, Ochi teaches wherein a contact surface between said **illuminating device** (transparent original adapter 71) **and said** guide (film supporting rollers 75 and 76) is provided with sliding preventing means (FIG. 5).

With regards to **claim 22**, which further limits **claim 21**, Ochi teaches wherein said transparent original guide is provided with a spacer member located at a position out of an image area of the transparent original and inside of said urging portions between the transparent original and said transparent original plate (**FIG. 5**, film supporting members 75 and 76 create a space under a delivery device of DC motor 31 and take-up spool 32).

With regards to **claim 11**, which further limits **claim 21**, Ochi teaches positioning means for determining a relative position between the transparent original and **said** guide unit and said light source unit (light source drive circuit 78 and optical drive circuit 66 of **FIG. 6**), and wherein, by the positioning of said positioning means, said light source unit can illuminate the entirety of at least any one image surface of among plural images included in the transparent original (**col.14**, **line 47-57**).

With regards to **claim 13**, which further limits **claim 11**, Ochi teaches wherein said positioning means include a convex/concave portion provided between said guide unit and said light source unit (**col. 19**, **line 13-17**).

With regards to **claim 23**, Ochi teaches a transparent original illuminating apparatus which is to be installed on a transparent original plate together with a transparent original when the transparent original is read by an image reading apparatus in which said transparent original plate (transparent original adapter 73), an imaging lens and a reading sensor are arranged in order (projection lens 52 and line sensor 97),

Page 5

Supporting roller drive circuit moves the film supporting rollers downward when reading film against original placement plane of platen glass along film passing plane (col. 13, line 53-59).

However, Ochi does not explicitly teach wherein said transparent original illuminating apparatus is provided at its transparent original side with a plurality of urging portions disposed at positions corresponding to an out of an image area of the transparent original and protruded, **less than a depth of field of said lens**, above a light **emitting** surface, and the transparent original is urged against said transparent original plate by said urging portions.

Chang teaches the transparency may be smoothly rested on the glass window of the body by the light permeable face of the plane light source. Thus, the light of the plane light source may penetrate the light permeable face of the plane light source, and pass through the transparency and the glass window of the body, to proceed the image scanning. The plane light source, the transparency, and the glass window of the body present a smooth resting state within 0.3mm (col. 3, line 39-51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use a light source that pressed against the original within the scanning depth to obtain a clear image (Chang, col. 3, line 50-55).

With regards to **claim 24**, which further limits **claim 23**, Ochi teaches a transparent original guide for determining a position with respect to the transparent original (**FIG.5**, a delivery device in a film passing plane 13C, **col. 3**, **line 13-14**).

3. Claims 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ochi et al. herein after Ochi (US 7,034,967) in view of Chang (US Pat 6,519,023) and further in view of Hamasaki (US Pat 4,627, 704).

With regards to **claim 4**, which further limits claim 17, the rationale provided in claim 17 is incorporated herein.

Ochi teaches an image reading apparatus where in said image reading unit includes a projection lens and a focusing mechanism (col. 7, line 27-33, col. 9, line 18, and col. 15, line 28-35).

However, Ochi does not teach wherein said **lens is** a rod lens array.

Hamasaki teaches a rod lens array used to focus reflected light from the original onto the optical-sensitive member (col. 2, line 44-46).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use a focus lens having a rod lens array for the purpose of focusing the original.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,926 Page 7

Art Unit: 2625

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4, 5, 11, 13, 17 and 19-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5. Examiner would like to point out that applicant incorrectly identified claim 22 as cancelled.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MESFIN GETANEH whose telephone number is (571)270-3752. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM-6:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark K. Zimmerman can be reached on (571) 272-7653. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/646,926 Page 8

Art Unit: 2625

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mesfin Getaneh/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 2625

/Mark K Zimmerman/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625